

Introduction to categorical cybernetics

Jules Hedges²³

joint work with

Dylan Braithwaite¹ Matteo Capucci¹ Bruno Gavranović¹

Fabrizio Romano Genovese²³ Eigil Rischel¹ Riu Rodríguez Sakamoto¹

Toby Smithe⁴ André Videla¹³ Philipp Zahn²³

¹ MSP Group, University of Strathclyde

² 20squares

³ Institute for Categorical Cybernetics

⁴ Verses

Cybernetics??

- The meaning of “cybernetics” has drifted over time - no biotech here!
- The original 1960s meaning is roughly: **control theory of complex systems**
- With a strong impression of being **interdisciplinary** (mathematics, computer science, engineering, economics, biology, ...)
- From Greek “Kubernetes” meaning “**governance**” or “helm of a ship”
- We’re fighting against the Cybermen and the Borg to reclaim the meaning

Categorical cybernetics

- The phrase **categorical cybernetics** or **CyberCat** has 2 levels of meaning
- Surface level meaning: using anything that looks like category theory to do anything that looks like cybernetics
- More specific meaning: a small collection of categorical tools that keep coming up unreasonably often
- {Specific examples of chain rules} + {general theory of chain rules} + {general-purpose implementation}

Lenses

- A **lens** $f : (X, X') \rightarrow (Y, Y')$ is given by a **forwards pass** $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and a **backwards pass** $f' : X \times Y' \rightarrow X'$
- Lenses compose by the **chain rule**
- $(g \circ f)'_x(z') = f'_x(g'_{f(x)}(z'))$
- This definition makes sense in any finite product category

Reverse derivatives as lenses

- Every smooth function $f: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ has a transpose Jacobian $J(f)^\top: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$
- Linear in the second argument
- The ordinary chain rule says $J(g \circ f)_x^\top = J(f)_x^\top \cdot J(g)_{f(x)}^\top$
- This says exactly that J^\top is a functor $\{\text{smooth functions}\} \rightarrow \{\text{lenses}\}$
- The foundational idea of differential geometry & backprop

Bayesian lenses

- A **Markov kernel** is $f : X \rightarrow \Delta(Y)$ aka conditional distribution $\mathbb{P}_f[Y | X]$
- If we have a **prior** on X and make an observation of f 's output we can get a **posterior** on X by Bayes' law
- This defines $B(f) : \Delta(X) \times Y \rightarrow \Delta(X)$
- **Chain rule for Bayes:** $B(g \circ f)_\pi(z) = B(f)_\pi(B(g)_{f(\pi)}(z))$
- This says that Bayes' law is a functor $\{\text{Markov kernels}\} \rightarrow \{\text{lenses}\}$

Value iteration

- Suppose we have a Markov decision problem with transition probabilities $f: S \times A \rightarrow \Delta(S \times \mathbb{R})$
- We would like to estimate the long-run values $V: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- **Value iteration** says $V_{i+1}(s) = \mathbb{E}[u + \beta V_i(s') \mid (s', u) = f(s, a)]$
- Different ways of choosing a correspond to flavours of RL
- This converges to the infinite discounted sum $V(s) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \beta^i u_i\right]$

Value iteration with lenses

- We can package the value iteration step into a lens $f : (S, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow (S, \mathbb{R})$
- Its forwards pass is $f(s) = s'$, saying how the policy changes state
- Its backwards pass is $f'_s(v) = u + \beta v$, current payoff + discounted continuation payoff
- If $V_i : (S, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow (1,1)$ is an estimate of the value function, then $V_{i+1} = V_i \circ f$ is a better estimate
- This also works for action-value functions, aka Q-matrices

Dependent lenses

- The definition of lenses still works when the backwards pass has types indexed by the forwards pass, allowing statically-typed **branching**
- In pseudo-Agda: $f' : (x : X) \rightarrow Y'_{f(x)} \rightarrow X'_x$
- The category [indexed types, dependent lenses] is (non-trivially) equivalent to [**polynomial functors**, natural transformations]
- Put in rich structure, get even more rich structure back
- F-lenses over-generalise this to: category \mathcal{C} + functor $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$

Optics

- **Optics** generalise lenses to any **monoidal category** (and to actegories)
- Key example: categories of Markov kernels - Bayesian open games
- Require almost no structure as input, get back as much as lenses
- Even in cartesian settings, optics are operationally better - they memoise instead of recompute the forwards pass
- tl;dr Optics are better than lenses in every possible way

Dependent optics

- Question: how to get the best of both worlds between optics (non-cartesian, better operationally) and dependent lenses (richer structure, especially **branching**)
- Partial answer: **indexed optics** - compute the coproduct completion of optics
- The full answer:



The Para construction

- For any monoidal category, a parametrised morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ is $P + P \otimes X \rightarrow Y$
- Para + lenses synergise very well: $(P \otimes X, P' \otimes X') \rightarrow (Y, Y')$
- A general theory of **controlled processes** - central to cybernetics
- P is the control, P' is the **feedback** to the controller
- Compose horizontally (along processes) + vertically (along controllers)

Variational inference

- Bayesian inverses are hard to compute
- So we make the backwards pass of Bayesian lenses parametrised
- Loss functions, eg. **KL divergence**, **variational free energy** measure the failure to be the exact Bayesian inverse
- Variational inference for composite processes can be done “locally” exactly like backprop + gradient descent
- Working towards a fully compositional account of **active inference**

Open games

- Open games = parametrised optics + counterfactual optimisation
- Forward pass: actions in a game
- Backward pass: (counterfactual) payoffs

The open game engine

- Open-source implementation of Bayesian open games in Haskell
- A domain specific language for specifying them, with variable binding syntax
- Behaves like a **model checker** for Bayesian Nash equilibria
- Used for real-world modelling at 20squares
- Suffers from drawbacks coming from Haskell + the DSL design
- Programming with an explicit backwards pass is a huge barrier

RL in the open game engine

- Open games but runs multi-agent Q-learning instead of equilibrium checking
- Frontend in Haskell, backend in Python rllib
- Currently closed source
- Used for simulations to study **algorithmic collusion**

“Diegetic open games”

- A deep categorical account of where the backwards pass comes from
- Key idea: there is a lens from pairs of gradients to gradients of pairs,
 $(X \times Y, T(X) \times T(Y)) \rightarrow (X \times Y, T(X \times Y))$
- Leads to a completely functorial account of parametrised optics
- In game theory, “tangent vector” = payoff matrix
- This lens contains the essence of Nash equilibrium

The next implementation

- Learning from the mistakes of the open game engine
- Use theory of diegetic open games to make the backwards pass implicit
- Deep rather than shallow embedding - no maintaining a parser
- No longer specialised to game theory
- Current status: prototyping in Haskell, only partially working yet

The big picture

- The €1,000,000 question: **so what?**
- Categorical methods can't do anything genuinely new
- Distinction between practical compositionality and its mathematical theory
- We are pinning down folklore ("**x looks kinda like y**") in a precise way
- One hope: that a general-purpose implementation will be genuinely useful

The CyberCat Institute

- A scheme of Philipp Zahn & me
- Idea: try to organise researchers better than we can in a university
- Idea: put researchers and software engineers on equal footing
- Neither completely blue-sky nor profit-driven R&D
- Neither academia nor business but taking the most useful parts from both
- Specific example: try funding devops work on an academic research grant
- Current status: actively looking for funding

Some references

- Cruttwell, Gavranović, Ghani, Wilson, Zanasi - Categorical foundations of gradient-based learning (arXiv:2103.01931)
- Smithe - Mathematical foundations for a compositional account of the Bayesian brain (arXiv:2212.12538)
- Braithwaite, Hedges - Dependent Bayesian lenses (arXiv:2209.14728)
- Hedges, Sakamoto - Value iteration is optic composition (arXiv:2206.04547)

Some more references

- Capucci, Gavranović, Hedges, Rischel - Towards foundations of categorical cybernetics (arXiv:2105.06332)
- Capucci - Diegetic representation of feedback in open games (arXiv:2206.12338)
- <https://github.com/CyberCat-Institute/open-game-engine>
- Genovese - Experiments in modelling a disaster - <https://hackmd.io/@3hIMf3V6RQWVUTzjzKEQuw/SyM8N6jSo>
- <https://cybercat.institute/> , <https://cybercat-institute.github.io/>